



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/384,315	8/27/99	BOUILLLOUX ET AL.	ATOCM-152

EXAMINER	
ROBERT SELLERS	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1712	23

DATE MAILED:

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James E. Roland (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Robert Sellers (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview June 24, 2003

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 20

Identification of prior art discussed: SPELLTHANN

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Alleged support for cl. 48 on p.1, l 36 to p.2, l 2. Cls. 50+52 supported by p.6, l 2-3. Proposed amts to ind. cls for (A) being copolymer of ethylene+unsat epoxide, epoxide-grafted polyolefin and product w/2 epoxide gprs. as supported on p.4, l 22-24. Insertion of wt. ratio of (C):(B) of cl. 52 into ind. cls. possibly favorable based on lowest prior art disclosed range of 0.78:1 and exemplified

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. If it is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  
ranges of 4:1 and 6:1. Cancellation of cls. 50+51 required due to ratios broader than cl. 52. Cancellation of cl. 48 would overcome 112, ¶1. Difference between cls. 20+41 to be explained.  
Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Robert Sellers  
Examiner's Signature